Contents Volume 11:1 March 1988

Smolensky, P. On the proper treatment of connectionism 1

Open Peer Commentary

Antony, L. & Levine, J. On the proper treatment of the connection between connectionism and symbolism 23
Bechtel, W. Connectionism and interlevel relations 24
Belew, R. K. Two constructive themes 25
Chandrasekaran, B., Goel, A. & Allemang, D. Information processing abstractions: The message still counts more than the medium 26
Cleland, C. E. Is Smolensky's treatment of connectionism on the level? 27
Dellarosa, D. The psychological appeal of connectionism 28
Dietrich, E. & Fields, C. Some assumptions underlying Smolensky's treatment of connectionism 29
Dreyfus, H. L. & Dreyfus, S. E. On the proper treatment of Smolensky 31
Dyer, M. G. The promise and problems of connectionism 32
Freeman, W. J. Dynamic systems and the "subsymbolic level" 33
Freidin, R. Connectionism and the study of language 34
Golden, R. M. Statistical rationality 35
Hofstadter, D. R. Common sense and conceptual halos 35
Hunter, L. E. Some memory, but no mind 37
Hanson, S. J. On the obvious treatment of connectionism 38
Lakoff, G. Smolensky, semantics, and the sensorimotor system 39
Lehnert, W. G. Physics, cognition, and connectionism: An interdisciplinary alchemy 40
Lindsay, R. K. Can this treatment raise the dead? 41
Lloyd, D. Connectionism in the golden age of cognitive science 42
Lycan, W. G. Symbols, subsymbols, neurons 43
McCarthy, J. Epistemological challenges for connectionism 44
Mortensen, C. In defence of neurons 44
Nelson, R. J. Connections among connections 45
Prince, A. & Pinker, S. Subsymbols aren't much good outside of a symbol-processing architecture 46
Quarton, G. C. A two-dimensional array of models of cognitive function 48
Rey, G. Sanity surrounded by madness 48
Rueckl, J. G. Making the connections 50
Schneider, W. Structure and controlling subsymbolic processing 51
Shepard, R. N. How fully should connectionism be activated? Two sources of excitation and one of inhibition 52
Stich, S. P. From connectionism to eliminativism 53
Stone, G. O. From data to dynamics: The use of multiple levels of analysis 54
Touretzky, D. S. On the proper treatment of thermostats 55
den Uyl, M. J. The essential opacity of modular systems: Why even connectionism cannot give complete formal accounts of cognition 56
Van Gulick, R. Has the case been made against the ecumenical view of connectionism? 57
Woodfield, A. & Morton, A. The reality of the symbolic and subsymbolic systems 58

Editorial Commentary 58

Author's Response

Smolensky, P. Putting together connectionism – again 59

Glezer, I. I., Jacobs, M. S. & Morgane, P. J. Implications of the "initial brain" concept for brain evolution in Cetacea 75

Open Peer Commentary

Aronson, L. R. & Tobach, E. Conservative aspects of the dolphin cortex match its behavioral level 89
Campbell, C. B. G. Primitive survivors and neocortical evolution 90
Carlson, M. Evolution of the brain in Cetacea – is bigger better? 91
Eisenberg, J. F. Cetacean brains have a structure similar to the brains of primitive mammals; does this imply limits in function? 92
Falk, D. Allometry cannot be ignored in brain evolution studies 92
Gibson, K. R. Fish, sea snakes, dolphins, teeth and brains–some evolutionary paradoxes 93
Innocenti, G. M. Developmental axes and evolutionary trees 94
Irsigler, F. J. Morphogenetic versus morphofunctional theory 95
Johnson, J. I. Whose brain is initial-like? 96
Kaas, J. H. Determining species differences in numbers of cortical areas and modules: The architectonic method needs supplementation 96
Neafsey, E. J. The concept of association cortex should be abandoned 97
Parker, S. T. Putting all cetacean brains in one category is a big order 97
Reep, R. L. The "initial brain": Initial considerations 98
Rensch, B. What about *Sirenia*? 99
Ridgway, S. H. & Wood, F. G. Cetacean brain evolution 99
Shoshani, J. Elephants have a large neocortex too 100
Stein, B. E. Concepts of brain evolution 100
Steklis, H. D. Climbing the evolutionary ladder of success: The scala naturae in models of brain evolution 101
Szentágothai, J. Elegant hypotheses are intellectually rewarding; even more so if more hard data were available 102
Valverde, F. Competition for the sake of diversity 102
Wilczynski, W. Evolutionary events and the "modification/multiplication" relationship 103
Wind, J. Brain evolution: Some problems of interpretation 104
Zilles, K. & Rehkämper, G. The initial brain concept: A work in progress 105

Authors' Response

Glezer, I. I., Jacobs, M. S. & Morgane, P. J. The "initial" brain concept: Its uses and misuses 106

Houston, A. I. & McNamara, J. M. A framework for the functional analysis of behaviour 117

Open Peer Commentary

Alexander, R. McN. The risks of the chase 130
Barnard, C. J. Policy-making for survival: Reading the rules and small print 130
Calder, W. A., III State, function, and optimization 131
Caraco, T. Fitness, currencies, and models 133
Clark, C. W. Applications and limitations of dynamic programming in behavioral theory 134
Dawkins, M. S. Motivation, decision-making, and choice 134
Fantino, E. Conditioned reinforcement and reproductive success 135
Heiner, R. A. Adapting canonical costs and robust rules for imperfect decisions 135
Heyman, G. M. Optimization theory: A too narrow path 136
Huntingford, F. A. & Metcalfe, N. B. The functional analysis of behaviour: Making room for Prufrock 137
King, G. R. & Logue, A. W. Norms of behavior: Balancing generality with testability 138
Mangel, M. Dynamic theories of behavior 139
Morse, D. H. Behavior and fitness 141
Navarick, D. J. Is there a preference for variability? 141
Partridge, L. D. Evolution of a controller of state! 142
Powers, W. T. A nonfunctional analysis of behavior 143
Rachlin, H. Biological relevance 144
Reid, A. K. Realistic versus minimal models 144
Scudo, F. M. Behavior, adaptedness, and Darwinian theories 145
Shaw, R. E. & Carolan, T. F. Adjoint optimal control 146
Sherry, D. F. Dynamic programming: From eternity to here 147
Sih, A. On analyzing complex relationships between behavior, state and fitness 148
Smith, E. A. Realism, generality, or testability: The ecological modeler's dilemma 149
Stenseth, N. C. Houston & McNamara are right, but are they helpful to empiricists? 150
Timberlake, W. Constructing optimal sequences of behavior: Backwards is beautiful, but . . . 151
Westerterp, K. Optimization of energy gain: Theory and practice 152
Yoerg, S. I. Skepticism about dynamic modeling: General problems and the special problems of learning 153

Authors' Response

Houston, A. I. & McNamara, J. M. There's no such thing as a free lunch 154

Continuing Commentary ***165***

On Zuriff, G. E. (1986) Précis of *Behaviorism: A conceptual reconstruction.* BBS 9:687–724. 165

Lachman, R. Behaviorism: Counterarguments are pointless 165

Author's Response

Zuriff, G. E. A counterargument, nevertheless 166

On Gualtieri, T. & Hicks, R. E. (1985) An immunoreactive theory of selective male affliction. BBS 8:427-441. 167

Berglin, C.-G. Statements on male antigenicity based on faulty statistical analysis 167
James, W. H. A further note on the alleged antecedent brother effect in sex ratio 168

Contents Volume 11:2 June 1988

Benbow, C. P. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability in intellectually talented preadolescents: Their nature, effects, and possible causes 169

Open Peer Commentary

Becker, B. J. & Hedges, L. V. The effects of selection and variability in studies of gender differences 183
Bleier, R. The plasticity of the human brain and human potential 184
Bloom, L. Boys and girls and mathematics: What is the difference? 185
Braine, L. G. Sex differences in mathematics: Is there any news here? 185
Bryden, M. P. Cerebral organization and mathematical ability 186
Burnett, S. A. Spatial visualization and mathematical reasoning abilities 187
Chipman, S. F. Sex differences in parallax view? 188
Denenberg, V. H., Berrebi, A. S. & Fitch, R. H. Sex, brain, and learning differences in rats 188
Eysenck, H. J. O Tempora, O Mores! 189
Farmer, H. S. Predicting who our future scientists and mathematicians will be 190
Goldman-Rakic, P. S. & Clark, A. S. The new math: Is XY≥XX? 191
Halpern, D. F. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability: Let me count the ways 191
Hardyck, C. Causes of mathematical giftedness: Beware of left-handed compliments 192
Harshman, R. A. A variety of brains? 193
Hines, M. Hormonal influences on human cognition: What they might tell us about encouraging mathematical ability and precocity in boys and girls 194
Humphreys, L. G. Sex differences in variability may be more important than sex differences in means 195
Hunt, E. Sex differences in mathematical talents remain unexplained 196
Jackson, N. E. To understand sex differences we must understand reasoning processes (and vice versa) 197
Jensen, A. R. Sex differences in arithmetic computation and reasoning in prepubertal boys and girls 198
Kenrick, D. T. Biology: Sil Hard-wired ability: Maybe no 199
Kimura, D. Biological influences on cognitive function 200
Kornbrot, D. E. Creative mathematics: Do SAT-M sex effects matter? 200
Mackenzie, B. Sex differences in mathematical reasoning ability: Causes, consequences, and variability 201
Mayer, R. E. What we really need is a theory of mathematical ability 202
McGuinness, D. Socialization versus biology: Time to move on 203
Mills, C. J. Rival hypotheses about sex differences in mathematics: Problems and possibilities 204
Money, J. Mathematics as male pathology 205
Newcombe, N. & Baenninger, M. A. Nature/nurture in male/female mathematical giftedness 206
Nyborg, H. Mathematics, sex hormones, and brain function 206
Rosenthal, R. Evaluating explanations of sex differences in mathematical reasoning scores 207
Sanders, B. Mathematical ability, spatial ability, and remedial training 208
Searleman, A. Neuropsychological factors and mathematical reasoning ability 209
Smothergill, D. W. Causes of things and nature of things: Advice from Hughlings Jackson 210
Sternberg, R. J. The male/female difference is there: Should we care? 210
Swanson, H. H. Hormones and sexual differentiation 211
Symons, D. On throwing bones to environmentalists 212
Tiger, L. Sex differences in mathematics: Why the fuss? 212
Vandenberg, S. G. Could these sex differences be due to genes? 212
Wahlsten, D. Bias and sampling error in sex difference research 214
Walberg, H. J. Factors influencing educational productivity 214
Witelson, S. F. Neuroanatomical sex differences: Of no consequence for cognition? 215
Zohar, A. & Guttman, R. The forgotten realm of genetic differences 217

Author's Response

Benbow, C. P. Sex-related differences in precocious mathematical reasoning ability: Not illusory, not easily explained 217

Whiten, A. & Byrne, R. W. Tactical deception in primates 233

Open Peer Commentary

Altmann, S. A. Darwin, deceit, and metacommunication 244
Baldwin, J. D. Learning how to deceive 245
Bennett, J. Thoughts about thoughts 246
Bernstein, I. S. Metaphor, cognitive belief, and science 247
Burghardt, G. M. Anecdotes and critical anthropomorphism 248
Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. Classification of deceptive behavior according to levels of cognitive complexity 249
Danto, A. C. Deception and explanatory economy 252
Dennett, D. Why creative intelligence is hard to find 253
de Waal, F. B. M. Emotional control 254
Dunbar, R. I. M. How to break moulds 254
Gallup, Jr., G. G. Toward a taxonomy of mind in primates 255
Griffin, D. R. Subjective reality 256
Heyes, C. The distant blast of Lloyd Morgan's Canon 256
Humphrey, N. Lies, damned lies and anecdotal evidence 257
McGrew, W. C. You can't hide your lying eyes (or can you?) 258
Menzel, Jr., E. W. Mindless behaviorism, bodiless cognitivism, or primatology? 258

Mitchell, R. W. Ontogeny, biography, and evidence for tactical deception 259
Quiatt, D. Which are more easily deceived, friends or strangers? 260
Rachlin, H. Only external representations are needed 261
Reynolds, V. Tactical deception: A likely kind of primate action 262
Ristau, C. A. Deception: A need for theory and ethology 262
Shultz, T. R. & LaFrenière, P. J. Deception and adaptation: Multidisciplinary perspectives on presenting a neutral image 263
Smith, P. K. Family life and opportunities for deception 264
Strum, S. C. Social strategies and primate psychology 264
Thomas, R. K. Misdescription and misuse of anecdotes and mental state concepts 265
Thompson, N. S. Deception and descriptive mentalism 266

Authors' Response

Byrne, R. W. & Whiten, A. Toward the next generation in data quality: A new survey of primate tactical deception 267

Laming, D. Précis of *Sensory Analysis* 275

Open Peer Commentary

Cavonius, C. R. & van der Tweel, L. H. Limits on the usefulness of *Sensory Analysis* 296
Hanna, T. E. A perspective from auditory psychophysics on differential coupling 297
Harvey, Jr., L. O. To honor psychophysics and repeal confusion 298
Järvilehto, M. Obscure input for sensory analysis: Peripheral information processing is a dynamic entity 298
Kranda, K. Searching for models 299
Kulikowski, J. J. Sensory analysis of vision 300
Legge, G. E. & Viemeister, N. F. Sensory analysis in vision and audition 301
Lockhead, G. R. Modeling temporal and spatial differences 302
Macmillan, N. A. How sensory an *Analysis*? 303
Malone, Jr., J. C. Sensory analysis: Phenomena, models, and theories concerning life near threshold 304
Meyer, G. E. Emerging perceptions of *Sensory Analysis* 305
Moore, B. C. J. Questioning some basic assumptions on the form of psychometric functions, differential coupling, and the amplitude-discrimination of pure tones 306
Nevin, J. A. Sensory analysis and behavior theory 307
Pastore, R. E. Problems in modeling intensity discrimination for audition 307
Pöppel, E. & Logothetis, N. Psychophysical correlates of physiological functions 308
Raab, D. H. What Miller hath joined, Laming hath put asunder 309
Stevens, K. A. Differential coupling for detection versus discrimination 310
Tomko, D. L. *Sensory Analysis:* The question of balance 311
Tyler, C. W. A differentiated view of Weber's Law 311
Wagner, M. Presupposing Weber's Law: Theory without independent confirmation is circular 312
Watt, R. J. What is Weber's Law? 313
Wenderoth, P. Critical assumptions in psychophysical analysis 314
Yost, W. A. *Sensory analysis:* A psychoacoustic view 315

Author's Response

Laming, D. A reexamination of *Sensory Analysis* 316

Contents Volume 11:3 September 1988

Verleger, R. Event-related potentials and cognition: A critique of the context updating hypothesis and an alternative interpretation of P3 343

Donchin, E. & Coles, M. G. H. Is the P300 component a manifestation of context updating? 357

Open Peer Commentary

Aleksandrov, I. O. & Maksimova, N. E. P300 and the validity of psychophysiological descriptions of behavior 374
Birbaumer, N. & Elbert, T. P3: Byproduct of a byproduct 375
Brandeis, D. & Callaway, E. While on the subject of closure . . . 377
Chapman, R. M. Dual thrust in interpreting P3 and memory 377
Deecke, L. & Lang, W. P300 as the resolution of negative cortical DC shifts 379
Donald, M. W. Updating the context of ERP research 381
Friedman, D. ERPs and memory: P300 as well as other components are functionally implicated 382
Halgren, E. The P3: A view from the brain 383
Hampson, R. E. & Deadwyler, S. A. Reflections on closure and context, with a note on the hippocampus 385
Jones, G. V. Event-related potentials and memory retrieval 386
Jones, M. R. What does expectancy mean? 387
Kok, A. Probability mismatch and template mismatch: A paradox in P300 amplitude? 388
Markowitsch, H. J. Problems with brain origins 389
Rabbitt, P. Has the P300 been cost effective? 390
Rösler, F. The P300 event-related potentials: A one-humped dromedary's saddle on a two-humped camel 392
Roth, W. T. & Ford, J. M. P3 and (de)activation 393
Rugg, M. D. Event-related potentials and psychological explanation 394
Sanders, A. F. & Collet, W. Neither context updating nor context closure corresponds closely to human performance concepts 395
Scholz, R. W. Variants of expectancy and subjective probability in P300 research 396
Verbaten, M. N. Novelty and the P3 398

Author's Response

Verleger, R. From epistemology to P3-ology 399
Donchin, E. & Coles, M. G. H. On the conceptual foundations of cognitive psychophysiology 408

Gardner, R. A. & Gardner, B. T. Feedforward versus feedbackward: An ethological alternative to the law of effect 429

Open Peer Commentary

Andrew, R. J. Contiguity, contingency, and causation 447
Baum, W. M. Selection by consequences is a good idea 447
Bechtel, W. & Abrahamsen, A. Learning, reward, and cognitive differences 448
Bolles, R. C. The bathwater and everything 449
Catania, A. C. & Shimoff, E. Why contingencies won't go away 450
Church, R. M. Yoked control designs for assessment of contingency 451
Dickinson, A. & Mackintosh, N. J. Exorcizing Watson's ghost 452
Dinsmoor, J. A. The yoked control design is not the only test for reinforcement 453
Dyer, A. B. The neglected developmental dimension of "obligatory" behavior 454
Fantino, E. Guthrie revisited: For better and worse 455
Graham, G. Truth about consequences 455
Hineline, P. N. Feeding, forward and backward: Mostly red herrings 456
Iversen, I. H. How to change behavior? 457
Johnston, T. D. & Sharp, J. A. Misrepresenting the law of effect and ethology as its alternative 458
Lea, S. E. G. & Midgley, M. Learning as a constraint on obligatory responding 459
Lieberman, P. Language, evolution, and learning 459
Marken, R. S. The ethology of purpose 460
Nelson, K. Chimp communication without conditioning 461
Odling-Smee, F. J. & Plotkin, H. C. Gardners teach Washoe: Feedforward? Washoe teaches Gardners: Feedback? 462
Reid, R. L. Where are the limits to operant psychology? 463
Russell, W. M. S. Ethology, conditioning, and learning 464
Sevenster, P. Arbitrary effect of consequences yet indispensable? 465
Shettleworth, S. J. Constraints on learning or laws of performance? 465
Skinner, B. F. Signs and countersigns 466
Staddon, J. E. R. On the process of reinforcement 467
Stewart, J. & Rochford, J. Behavior change without a theory of learning? 469
Thomas, D. R. The law of effect: Contingency or contiguity 470
Thompson, C. R. The law of obligation is insufficient 471
Timberlake, W. Feedforward and feedback processes in learning: The importance of appetitive structure 472
Toates, F. Feedforward *and* (not versus) feedbackward 474
Tomasello, M. & Snow, C. E. Well-fed organisms still need feedback 475
Tomie, A. Contingency: Effects of symmetry of choice responses 476

Wasserman, E. A. Response bias in the yoked control procedure 477
Whitehurst, G. J. & Fischel, J. E. Feedback in the acquisition of language and other complex behavior 478

Authors' Response
Gardner, R. A. & Gardner, B. T. Truth or consequences 479

Dennett, D. C. Précis of *The Intentional Stance* 495

Open Peer Commentary
Amundson, R. Logical adaptationism 505
Cheney, D. & Seyfarth, R. Another "Just So" story: How the leopard-guarders spot 506
Churchland, P. M. The ontological status of intentional states: Nailing folk psychology to its perch 507
Cussins, A. Dennett's realisation theory of the relation between folk and scientific psychology 508
Danto, A. C. The notional world of D. C. Dennett 509
Dretske, F. The stance stance 511
Dummett, M. Dennett on belief 512
Goldman, A. I. Derived intentionality? 514
Griffin, D. R. Real intentions? 514
Harman, G. What is the intentional stance? 515
Kirsh, D. Competence models are causal 515
Kitcher, P. & Kitcher, P. The devil, the details, and Dr. Dennett 517
Lycan, W. G. Dennett's instrumentalism 518
MacLennan, B. J. Causes and intentions 519
Newell, A. The intentional stance and the knowledge level 520
Premack, D. Intentionality: How to tell Mae West from a crocodile 522
Roitblat, H. L. How to build a mind 525
Rosenberg, A. Will the argument for *abstracta* please stand up? 526
Searle, J. R. The realistic stance 527
Sloman, A. Why philosophers should be designers 529
Smith, M. P. Styles of computational representation 530
Stich, S. P. Connectionism, Realism, and realism 531
Taylor, C. What really matters 532
Van Kleeck, M. H. Intentional system theory and experimental psychology 533

Editorial Commentary 534

Author's Response
Dennett, D. C. Science, philosophy, and interpretation 535

Continuing Commentary

On Ebbesson, S. O. E. (1984) Evolution and ontogeny of neural circuits. BBS 7:321–66. 547

Johnson, M. Parcellation and plasticity: Implications for ontogeny 547

Author's Response
Ebbesson, S. O. E. Ontogenetic parcellation: Dual processes 548

On Hobson, J. A., Lydic, R. & Baghdoyan, H. A. (1986) Evolving concepts of sleep cycle generation: From brain centers to neuronal populations. BBS 9:371–448. 549

Corner, M. A. The reciprocal interaction theory of sleep rhythmicity–truly expanding or just fading away? 549
Morgane, P. J. Extended cholinergic sleep systems: Not so new–they do go back to 1962, 1963! 550

On Foreman, N. & Stevens, R. (1987) Relationships between the superior colliculus and hippocampus: Neural and behavioral considerations. BBS 10:101–51. 552

Thinus-Blanc, C. Exploration and Memory 552

Authors' Response
Foreman, N. & Stevens, R. Memory–we had not forgotten 554

On Skarda, C. A. & Freeman, W. J. (1987) How brains make chaos in order to make sense of the world. BBS 10:161–95. 557

Stowell, H. How might brains work? 558

Authors' Response
Skarda, C. A. Research options and the "creativity" of chaos 558
Freeman, W. J. Too soon for time and consciousness 559

Contents Volume 11:4 December 1988

Davis, H. & Pérusse, R. Numerical competence in animals: Definitional issues, current evidence, and a new research agenda 561

Open Peer Commentary

Boysen, S. T. Kanting processes in the chimpanzee: What (and who) really counts? 580
Braaten, R. F. Protocounting as a last resort 581
Burns, R. A. Subitizing and rhythm in serial numerical investigations with animals 581
Capaldi, E. J. & Miller, D. J. A different view of numerical processes in animals 582
Chauvin, B. Human infants are perhaps not so gifted after all 583
Fuson, K. C. Some further clarifications of numerical terminology using results from young children 583
Gallistel, C. R. Counting versus subitizing versus the sense of number 585
Gellatly, A. R. H. Counting as a social practice 586
Honig, W. K. The magical number four, plus or minus one: Working memory for numbers of items in animals 587
Idrobo, F. & Mostofsky, D. I. Definitional constraints and experimental realities 588
Johnson, M. Out for the count 589
Karmiloff-Smith, A. Human versus nonhuman abilities: Is there a difference which really counts? 589
King, J. E. Number concepts in animals: A multidimensional array 590
Lechelt, E. C. Number reckoning strategies: A basis for distinction 590
Luchins, A. S. & Luchins, E. H. Numbers and counting: Intuitionistic and gestalt psychological viewpoints 591
Macphail, E. M. You can't succeed without really counting 592
McGonigle, B. Is it the thought that counts? 593
Nevin, J. A. Reinforcement schedules and "numerical competence" 594
Pepperberg, I. M. Studying numerical competence: A trip through linguistic wonderland? 595
Schusterman, R. J. Language and counting in animals: Stimulus classes and equivalence relations 596
Seibt, U. Are animals naturally attuned to number? 597
Steffe, L. P. Possibilities for the construction of a sense of number by animals 598
Suppes, P. Problems of axiomatics and complexity in studying numerical competence in animals 599
Thomas, R. K. To honor Davis & Pérusse and repeal their glossary of processes of numerical competence 600
von Glasersfeld, E. Difficulties of demonstrating the possession of concepts 601

Authors' Response

Davis, H. & Pérusse, R. Numerical competence: From backwater to mainstream of comparative psychology 602

Johnston, T. D. Developmental explanation and the ontogeny of birdsong: Nature/nurture redux 617

Open Peer Commentary

Alcock, J. Singing down a blind alley 630
Bekoff, M. Birdsong and the "problem" of nature and nurture: Endless chirping about inadequate evidence or merely singing the blues about inevitable biases in, and limitations of, human inference? 631
Burghardt, G. M. Developmental creationism 632
Dehaene, S. & Changeux, J.-P. Selectionist mechanisms: A framework for interactionism 633
Gollin, E. S. Nature/nurture and other dichotomies 633
Güttinger, H.-R. "Template theory" is heuristic in disentangling organism–environment interactions 634
Hirsch, J. Behavior-genetic analysis versus ontogenetic imperialism 635
Hood, K. E. Selective breeding–selective rearing interactions and the ontogeny of aggressive behavior 636
Jensen, D. D. The polythetic perspective 637
Khayutin, S. N. & Alexandrov, L. I. *Ab ovo* with song? 637
King, A. P. & West, M. J. Ducks don't sing 638
Konopka, R. When is developmental biology not developmental biology? 639
Kroodsma, D. E. Behavioral ontogeny research: No pain, no gain? 639
Kruijt, J. P. & ten Cate, C. Song development and sexual imprinting: Toward an interactionist approach 640
Lemon, R. E. Birdsong development: Real or imagined results? 640
Miller, D. B. Beyond interactionism: A transactional approach to behavioral development 641
Morton, E. S. "Innate": Outdated and inadequate or linguistic convenience? 642
Mundinger, P. C. Conceptual errors, different perspectives, and genetic analysis of song ontogeny 643
Oyama, S. How do you transmit a template? 644
Pepperberg, I. M. Nature/nurture reflux 645
Schull, J. In defense of innateness and of its critics 646
Searcy, W. A. Song development from evolutionary and ecological perspectives 647
Slater, P. J. B. The nature and nurture of birdsong 648
Steklis, H. D. The nature/nurture debate: Same old wolf in new sheep's clothing? 649
Thelen, E. Interactionism is good, but not good enough 650

Author's Response

Johnston, T. D. Challenges to an interactionist approach to the study of song development 651

Logue, A. W. Research on self-control: An integrating framework 665

Open Peer Commentary

Ainslie, G. Matching is the integrating framework 679
Caraco, T. On the careful use of ecological models 680
Carver, C. S. On goals, perceptions, and self-control 681
Eisenberger, R. Perception and learning in self-control 682
Fantino, E. & Preston, R. Foraging for integration 683
Green, L. & Fisher, E. B., Jr. Self-control in context 684
Hinson, J. M. The conflicting psychologies of self-control: A way out? 685
Houston, A. I. & McNamara, J. M. In delay there lies no plenty 686
Imada, S. & Imada, H. Self-restraint: A type of self-control in an approach-avoidance situation 687
Kuhl, J. Functional characteristics of human self-control 688
Lowe, C. F. & Horne, P. J. On the origins of selves and self-control 689
Mazur, J. E. & Herrnstein, R. J. On the functions relating delay, reinforcer value, and behavior 690
Moore, J. Evolution and impulsiveness 691
Navarick, D. J. Spurious self-control: Potential outcome in research with humans 691
Pomerleau, O. F. & Pomerleau, C. S. On observing the unobservable 692
Shimoff, E. & Catania, A. C. Self-control and the panda's thumb 693
Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. Misinterpreting Mischel 693
Timberlake, W. Evolution, behavior systems, and "self-control": The fit between organism and test environment 694
Yamamura, N. Not all models are on the same level: Empirical law and hypothesis 695
Zeiler, M. D. Evolution is not rational banking 696

Author's Response

Logue, A. W. Working toward the big reinforcer: Integration 697

Continuing Commentary

On Holender, D. (1986) Semantic activation without conscious identification in dichotic listening, parafoveal vision, and visual masking: A survey and appraisal. BBS 9:1–66. 711

Doyle, J. R. High-level factors alter signal detectability 711

On Spanos, N. P. (1986) Hypnotic behavior: A social-psychological interpretation of amnesia, analgesia, and "trance logic." BBS 9:449–502. 712

Michaux, D. M. J. Toward a new paradigm of hypnosis: A model combining the social-psychological and special-processes paradigms 712

Author's Response

Spanos, N. P. Misconceptions about influenceability research and about sociocognitive approaches to hypnosis 714

On Berkinblit, M. B., Feldman, A. G. & Fukson, O. I. (1986) Adaptability of innate motor patterns and motor control mechanisms. BBS 9:585–638. 717

Goldberg, G. & Mayer, N. H. Motor control as adaptational biology: Relevance to education and rehabilitation 717

Authors' Response

Berkinblit, M. B., Feldman, A. G. & Fukson, O. I. The organization and optimization of movement 719

On MacNeilage, P. F., Studdert-Kennedy, M. G. & Lindblom, B. (1987) Primate handedness reconsidered. BBS 10:247–303. 720

Denenberg, V. H. Handedness hangups and species snobbery 721
Fragaszy, D. M. & Adams-Curtis, L. E. What next for handedness research? 722
Hamilton, C. R. & Vermeire, B. A. Cognition, not handedness, is lateralized in monkeys 723
Hardyck, C. Giving the primates a hand: Is the applause really justified? 725
Harris, L. J. & Carlson, D. F. Hand preference for visually guided reaching in human infants and adults 726
Kuhl, P. K. On handedness in primates and human infants 727
Peters, M. The primate mouth as an agent of manipulation and its relation to human handedness 729
Satz, P. Primate handedness: A paradoxical link to humans? 729
Walker, S. Language, handedness, and the larynx 731
Ward, J. P. Left-hand reaching preferences in prosimians 732
Wilson, M. Many hands make light work: Integrating research on primate handedness 733
Witelson, S. F. Hand preference: Basis or reflection of hemisphere specialization? 735

Authors' Response

MacNeilage, P. F., Studdert-Kennedy, M. G. & Lindblom, B. Primate handedness: A foot in the door 737